UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,789	10/05/2004	Kun-Yi Chan	MTKP0178USA	5788
	7590 04/18/200 RICA INTELLECTUA	8 L PROPERTY CORPORATION		INER
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD,			WYATT, KEVIN S  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WIEKKII IELD,	VA 22110		MTKP0178USA 5788  EXAMINER  WYATT, KEVIN S  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  2878  NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVERY MO	PAPER NUMBER
		2878		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/18/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/711,789	CHAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin Wyatt	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sl  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a h. briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this comm. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)       Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final.	•	nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 27-70 is/are pending in the application Papers  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with  5)  Claim(s) 27-48 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 49 and 60 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 50-59 and 61-70 is/are objected to 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.  o.  nd/or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>3. See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 2878

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment after non-final and remarks filed on 01/16/2007. Currently, claims 27-70 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 49 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyashita (U.S. Patent No. 5,627,813) in view of Udagawa (Publication No. U.S. 2004/0013065 A1).

Regarding claim 49, Miyashita shows in Figs. 1 and 9, a light emitting device calibration system for calibrating a light emitting device in an optical disc drive, the light emitting device calibration system comprising: a laser diode (18 or 19) installed within the optical disc drive being the light emitting device to be calibrated; a microprocessor (28, i.e., cpu) electrically coupled (via D/A converter (12, 14) and voltage control current source (16, 17)) to the light emitting device for controlling power of the light emitting device by changing values of a drive signal (output of voltage control current sources (16, 17)), receiving a power indication signal ( $v_{mon}$ ) corresponding to light emitted by the light emitting device, and determining a power relationship (performed by comparators

Page 3

Art Unit: 2878

(6-8)) relating values of the drive signal to powers of the light emitting device according to the power indication signal  $(v_{mon})$  for each of the values of the drive signal during a calibration mode; a light detector (4) for detecting the light emitted by the light emitting device to generate an analog signal (error signal in comparators (6-8)); a signal calibration circuit (combination of comparators (6-8), U/D counters (9-11), and D/A converters (12-14)) having a predetermined reference voltage ( $v_{ref}$ ) for generating the power indication signal ( $v_{mon}$ ) according to the analog signal (error signal) and the predetermined reference voltage (v<sub>ref</sub>). Miyashita does not have a non-volatile memory for storing the power relationship determined by the microprocessor during the calibration mode, wherein the microprocessor uses said power relationship to control value of the drive signal according to desired powers of the light emitting device during a normal operation. Udagawa shows in Fig. 10 a non-volatile memory (27) for storing a power relationship (for calculations and storing programs and signal ratios (PK2/PK1) determining power compensation values) determined by the microprocessor during the calibration mode, wherein the microprocessor uses said power relationship to control value of the drive signal according to desired powers of the light emitting device during a normal operation (paragraphs 0181-182). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide a non-volatile memory such as disclosed in Udagawa to the device of Miyashita for the purpose of providing access to previous power calibration information thus further optimizing power output from laser diodes.

Regarding claim 60, Miyashita shows in Figs. 1 and 9, a method of calibrating a light emitting device in an optical disc drive, the method comprising: providing a laser

Art Unit: 2878

diode (18 or 19) installed within the optical disc drive being the light emitting device to be calibrated; controlling power (using cpu (28)) of the light emitting device by changing values of a drive signal (output of voltage control current sources (16, 17)) to the light emitting device during a calibration mode; receiving a power indication signal ( $v_{mon}$ ) corresponding to light emitted by the light emitting device; determining a power relationship (using comparators (6-8)) relating values of the drive signal (output of voltage control current sources (16, 17)) to powers of the light emitting device according to the power indication signal ( $v_{mon}$ ) for each of the values of the drive signal; detecting the light (via photodetector (4)) emitted by the light emitting device to generate an analog signal (error signal in comparators (6-8)); providing a predetermined reference voltage (v<sub>ref</sub>); generating the power indication signal according to the analog signal and the predetermined reference voltage ( $v_{mon}$ ). Miyashita does not disclose storing the power relationship determined during the calibration mode for controlling values of the drive signal according to desired powers of the light emitting device in a normal operation mode. Udagawa discloses storing a power relationship (for calculations and storing programs and signal ratios (PK2/PK1) determining power compensation values) determined during a calibration mode in a non-volatile memory (27) for controlling values of the drive signal according to desired powers of the light emitting device in a normal operation mode (paragraphs 0181-182). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide a non-volatile memory such as disclosed in Udagawa to the device of Miyashita for the purpose of providing access to previous power calibration information thus further optimizing power output from laser diodes.

Art Unit: 2878

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 27-48 are allowed.

5. Claims 50-59 and 61-70 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter:

Regarding claim 27, the prior art fails to disclose or make obvious a light emitting device calibration system comprising, in addition to the other recited features of the claim, "a signal calibration circuit having a predetermined reference voltage being coupled between the light detector and the microprocessor for generating the power indication signal having an inverse relationship with the analog signal."

Regarding claim 38, the prior art fails to disclose or make obvious, a method of calibrating a light emitting device comprising, in addition to the other recited features of the claim, "generating the power indication signal having an inverse relationship with the analog signal such that when the analog signal is at the state of no light was emitted by the light emitting device, the power indication signal reaches at a predetermined maximum value, which is a function of the predetermined reference voltage."

Claim 50 has allowable subject matter because the prior art fails to disclose or make obvious, either singly or in combination, a light emitting device calibration system for calibration a light emitting device in an optical disc drive, the light emitting device

Art Unit: 2878

calibration system, comprising, in addition to the other recited features of the claim, "wherein during the calibration mode, the microprocessor adjusts a value of the drive signal so that the light emitting device does not emit any light, calculates a gain of the light emitting device calibration system by measuring a sampled maximum value of the power indication signal as detected by the microprocessor corresponding to the predetermined maximum value of the power indication signal, and correct the power indication signals as measured by the microprocessor for each of the plurality of values of the drive signal according to the obtained gain."

Claim 61 has allowable subject matter because the prior art fails to disclose or make obvious, either singly or in combination, a method of calibrating a light emitting device in an optical disc drive, comprising, in addition to the other recited features of the claim, "adjusting a value of the drive signal until the light emitting device does not emit any light; calculating a gain of the light emitting device calibration system by measuring a sampled maximum value of the power indication signal as detected corresponding to the predetermined maximum value of the power indication signal; and utilizing the gain to correct the power indication signals for each of the value of the drive signal."

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, see pages 14-16, filed 01/16/2008, with respect to the rejections of claims 49 and 60 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further

Art Unit: 2878

consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Miyashita (U.S. Patent

No. 5,627,813) and Udagawa (Publication No. U.S. 2004/0013065 A1).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kevin Wyatt whose telephone number is (571)-272-

5974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on (571)-272-2328. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/K. W./

Examiner, Art Unit 2878

/Georgia Y Epps/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2878